

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/812,638	O	3/29/2004	Mario Abdennour		25669-014 CIP CON	3493
30623	7590	03/22/2006			EXAM	INER
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C.					BUMGARNE	R, MELBA N
ONE FINANCIAL CENTER					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, N	1A 0211	1		`	3732	

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			E		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/812,638	ABDENNOUR ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Melba Bumgarner	3732		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING assignment of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streeply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reprince of the communication of the communicatio	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. UNDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	9 January 2006.			
·	<u> </u>	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the m					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.				
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.			
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) □ objected to b	y the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	•			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form P1O-152.		
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in Ap	plication No		
•	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage		
	application from the International Bur	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not re	eceived.		
Attachmen	at(s)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)		
2) Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	′ —	/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/812,638

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Terminal Disclaimer

1. The terminal disclaimer filed on July 26, 2005 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of US patent no. 6,712,610 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification does not describe a rigid polymer vehicle nor rigid polymer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 21, and 22 are rejected as understood, under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Goodson (4,892,736). Goodson discloses a fiber comprising a polymer vehicle having incorporated therein one or more medicaments (column 3 line 30). Patentable weight is

Application/Control Number: 10/812,638 Page 3

Art Unit: 3732

not given to the intended use of the fiber. It is noted that the fiber having the shape of a fiber having the size of a diameter of about 0.1 to about 1mm would be suitable for placement in a root canal. As to claims 2 and 3, the medicament is antibiotic of tetracycline (column 6 line 37). As to claim 5, Goodson shows a combination of antibiotic and anti-inflammatory agent (column 6 line 53). Goodson shows the fiber impregnated with the medicament (column 3 line 26).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 7. Claim 4 is rejected as understood, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goodson in view of Damani (5,114,718). Goodson discloses a fiber that shows the limitations as described above and the polymer vehicle is an ethylene vinyl acetate copolymer (column 4 line 63) having a diameter of 0.1 to about 1 mm; however, Goodson does not show medicament of clindamycin. Damani teaches devices providing medicament of clindamycin (column 2 line 65) as well as tetracycline (column 2 line 64). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate medicament of clindamycin to the fiber of Goodson, since Damani discloses both as known antibiotics. As to the dose of antibiotic, Damani teaches steady state average concentrations of medicament of about 10 μg to 5000 μg per device.
- 8. Claims 7-14 and 23-26 are rejected as understood, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goodson. Goodson discloses obtaining a fiber having one or more medicaments incorporated therein that is suitable for intracanal use, positioning the fiber such

that the fiber is in direct contact with a treatment site, and maintaining the fiber at the treatment site, wherein the medicament is delivered to the treatment site at a controlled rate (column 2 line 56); however, Goodson does not show the step of the fiber in the root canal. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to do so, since one skilled in the art would recognize that the fiber of Goodson is of size and characteristics sufficient for positioning in a root canal and Goodson shows method of localized treatment within the oral cavity.

9. Claims 15-20 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goodson in view of Hoyt et al. (4,003,810). Goodson discloses a fiber as described above and of ethylene vinyl acetate copolymer (column 4 line 63); however, Goodson does not show less than about 20% vinyl acetate. Hoyt et al. disclose a fiber (column 3 line 7) of ethylene vinyl acetate copolymer comprising 3 to 50 percent vinyl acetate. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as to the specific amount of a known material; where the composition of the fiber is disclosed, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed January 9, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's specification does not define a rigid fiber or a rigid polymer, particularly the dictionary definition that the applicant has asserted, and the specification referring to an increase in rigidity does not imply an object being rigid. In fact the specification states otherwise, such as on page 8 "[t]he composition and glass transition temperature of the polymer can also be selected to confer surface characteristics and a level of rigidity required to accomplish the aseptic placement of the fiber within the root canal, and to facilitate the

Application/Control Number: 10/812,638 Page 5

Art Unit: 3732

subsequent conformity of the fiber to the contours of the root canal." It is understood to one of skill in the art that the level of rigidity above is not "stiff and hard" if it were to facilitate conformity of the fiber to the contours of the root canal. Also the specification states of intracanal fibers on page 17, "increase in rigidity similar to traditional gutta-percha points".

Gutta-percha is a natural resin, which is rubbery and points made of gutta-percha are not known to be "rigid".

Conclusion

11. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Melba Bumgarner whose telephone number is 571-272-4709. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached at 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melba Bumgarner

meloa Bungainer

Primary Examiner